(13)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 3044 of 2007

Petitioners	1	Smt. Pritima Verma, Age about 37 years, Assistant Teacher, W/o Shri Yashwant Singh Verma, Govt. Primary School, Shankar Nagar, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	2.	Pyare Lal Kurre, Age about 39 years, Assistant Teacher, S/o Shri Hiraman Das Kurre, Govt. Primary School, Dhansuli, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	3.	Fagu Ram Dewangan, Age about 39 years, Assistant Teacher, S/o Shri Makhan Lal Dewangan, Govt. Middle School, Mokhla, block-Arang, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	4.	Onkar Prasad Verma, Age about 39 years, Assistant Teacher, S/o Late Shri Sadan Lal Verma, R/o : Village - Siwani, P.O.-Gordhi, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	5.	Churamani Sahu, Age about 39 years, Assistant Teacher, S/o Shri Chandu Lal Sahu, Govt. Boys Primary School, Block Colony, Abhanpur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	6.	Mordhwaj Verma, Age about 39 years, Assistant Teacher, S/o Shri Bali Ram Verma, Govt. Primary School, Baktara, Block - Arang, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	7.	Sukhdev Ram Sahu, Age about 36 years, Assistant Teacher, S/o Shri Sukalal Sahu, Govt. Girls Hr. Sec. School, Abhanpur, Block-Abhanpur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	8.	Anur Kumar Triwendra, Age about 37 years, Assistant Teacher, S/o Shri Jhumaku Ram Trivendra, Govt. Primary School, Kuhera, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	Versus	
Respondents	1	State Government of Chhattisgarh, Through:- Secretary, Education & Tribal Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
	2.	District Education Officer, Raipur (C.G.)

Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India

(14)

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Present: Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Pandey, Panel lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 11th Day of May, 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein is asto whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in W.P. (S) No. 1463 of 2006 (**Aeman Jain vs. The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another**), which was disposed of vide order dated 10.11.2006.
3. In view of the above submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur